

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	N NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/809,475	03/26/2004	Tetsu Hasegawa	251144US2	8330		
22850	22850 7590 06/26/2006			EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			RADOSEVICH, STEVEN D			
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER		
			2138			
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		' '	9,475		HASEGAWA ET AL.				
		Exam	iner	Art Unit					
		Steve	n D. Radosevich	2138					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears or	the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN SOLUTION OF THE INSIGN SOLUTION OF THE INSIGN SOLUTION OF THE INSIGN SOLUTION OF THE INSIGN OF THE INSIG	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION THIS COMMUNICATION TO EVENT, however, may a reply be not will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this of IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2004.								
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)  accepted o	r b)  objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen			_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (i	Paper No(s)/Mail	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-20 are presented within this action

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to testing a logic circuit within an integrated circuit with test patterns from a test pattern generator (TPG), produces results that are compressed and compared to corresponding expected results, classified in class 714, subclass 726.
- II. Claims 19-20, drawn to a computer implemented apparatus for designing an integrated circuit, classified in class 716, subclass 7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Group I does not require any designing of the integrated circuit. The subcombination has separate utility such as design specifications being met for specific implementation of an integrated circuit being designed for integration within circuitry.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and require

Application/Control Number: 10/809,475

Art Unit: 2138

separate searches needed to be performed, a restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to the law office of Eckhard H. Kesters on 6/14/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,475 Page 4

Art Unit: 2138

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Radosevich whose telephone number is 571-272-2745. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven D. Radosevich

Examiner

Art Unit 2138

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100